

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response
YES	Portugal, Canaries, Spain	Employment and Economic regeneration. But for me personally a local airport without the crowds and overal convenience	I have no view
yes I do as all teh other airports are so far from the people in Kent it makes the task of going away anywhere very tedious	Any European destinations would be attractive	Employment to in a very deprived area of England. Also a fair access to air transport for the people of Kent, so we can actually not have to spend half a day getting to an airport	I approve
Definatly, used the previous route to Edinburgh on numerous occasions and miss it very much.	Edinburgh	Better tourism More jobs	
yes	Manchester, France Australia and Jersey	A great deal especially by putting not only Manston on the map but also the business and tourist potential forThanet and the whole of Kent in general especially with domestic flights.	I think that would be absolutely neccessary to be discussed with the people it would affect and also take note and act on their positive and negative views together rather than ride roughshod(as governments both local and national do) over their opinions, otherwise you will be stirring up a hornet's nest and could cause bad publicity both for business and tourism.
Yes	Guernsey	Ease of access	Need to improve rail access, especially with high speed coming to Canterbury
Yes	Europe generally and Exeter, Edinburgh	Good for employment. Saves us going to either Gatwick, boring, Heathrow, ghastly.	
YES	GIRONA as it covers the whole Costa Brava and down to Barcelona.	Ryanair is the only other main airlinar that fly to Girona, but having to go to Standstead is a big drawback, having to cross the London area to go to Kent or South East.	Being Manston such a big airport, with the rigth adver tisement and marketing should have a great future for development and success.
Tes	most of europe and rest of Spanish islands	Better road links, shorter distance to airport better for the environment	
Most certainly	Edinburgh, Paris, Italy.	Regeneration of a poorly serviced area.	Good idea.
yes	USA, Holland also Manchester	reduced travel time to point of departure	good road link and ample affordable parking essential
Not in the present form		other than more noise not a lot.	
No.	None.	I do not believe there is any benefit for the Ramsgate community.	

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
No	None	None. We should replace it with more railway use.	I am against them. Thanet is noted for its remarkably rich agricultural land, and this should not be allowed to be covered by tarmac. Motor traffic and planes are also detrimental to wildlife.
yes	alicante jersey florida any where in europe	not having to run the gautlet of the m25	why have improved the 299 if the airport is not going to be used
Yes	Spain, Italy, Croatia, France	Employment	
YES	Canary Islands, Southern Europe.	So much easier to get to for those travelling. Less stress for drivers - no panicking about hold ups on the M25. Easy parking. The local community will benefit from increased employment prospects.	If a rail link to the airport is provided it would be easy to get to London. This should not be too difficult as there are rail routes relatively close and a spur could run into the airport complex. Road access has already been improved but may need further work if traffic increases. This can only improve the area.
No. It's been tried so many times before and always failed. There isn't a large enough catchment area to justify a wide variety of routes and a comprehensive schedule of flights.	Paris, Madrid, Venice, Rome, Far East, Caribbean, Africa, South America	There's no benefit to the local community; in fact there's a net negative effect when taking into account the increased noise pollution and traffic congestion.	Cloud cuckoo land! Where will the money come from? The Government will never pay for the infrastructure costs in the current economic climate.
Yes	Edinburgh	Saves the terrible journeys through Gatwick	No Comment
Yes	Canary Islands & europe	Less travelling time to and from the airport. More local jobs	If this is what it takes to provide a service the roads & othere requirements need to be in place
No... on the basis that even in the pre-global downturn days there was not sufficient demand. With plans for a second runway at Gatwick and other S.E airports the footfall could diminish further. The increase of freight movements would be far more of an issue producing high levels of noise and nitrous oxide pollution.	As a small regional hub airport, flights to UK and short haul european destinations would be the most lucrative. This was tried by EU jet in times of economic growth and resulted in flights being sold at a loss. The best way to introduce a service like this would be to give a free or low cost flight to each household in a given radius. The resultant feedback (if good) would serve as your best form of marketing and give an instant boost to launch the service. Full planes from day one would give greater confidence and momentum to the project.	If the quality of life for local residents is classed as a community issue I would say the detriment in terms of pollution and noise far out way any 'promises' of jobs and fiscal / social gain.	I believe the area is unique in its wealth of beaches and lack of industrial spoil. This will, given the right investment, create a holiday destination for future generations not wishing to go abroad and provide far greater wealth & security for the people of Thanet than another irrevocable planning error based on the outdated growth patterns of the previous 10 years.

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
No, we have been suffering from a lot of low, noisy aircraft, particularly on sunny days in the summer (we live in Tankerton and shouldn't be on the flightpath) and can only think more scheduled services will mean more aircraft over our house. We never used to have any aircraft over our house when we moved back here 7 years ago (for some peace and quiet!)	None	None - I can only see more aircraft for locals to have to endure at all times of day and night and a strain on our local roads (A299)	none - we're more concerned with air use!
Yes	Dublin	Access to a part of the South East that is slightly too far from the three London Airports	

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
I wholeheartedly support the return of air services to KIA	Malaga, Barcelona, Girona, Manchester, Nice Perpignan.	Employment, easy access to a local airport avoiding long congested journeys to Gatwick and Stanstead	Not read the full Draft master Plan yet, but will. Can only comment the land needs to be used to accommodate airport expansion, access needs to improve ie duelling of the road from Prospect Inn Roundabout to Lord of the Manor, and to Westwood Cross roundabout, the congestion at times here is appalling.
No	None	I see no advantage whatsoever. I see air pollution, noise pollution and the further decline of Ramsgate as a place to visit.	The airport can only serve to drive people away from Ramsgate. The number of jobs it might create for local people will be far outweighed by the number of jobs (and lives) it will ruin. The land would be put to far better use as a mixture of light industrial/commercial development and housing. Or even return it to agricultural use - digging up the runway will provide more jobs for local people than an airport ever will.
Definitely Yes	Domestic flights, ie. Scotland, Ireland Spain, Canary Islands, Portugal, all European countries. America.	Economic benefits to the area Employment opportunities Promotion of area Reduce travelling time and expenses (instead of driving and parking at London airports.	As long as it is relevant to the progress of the airport and local people are consulted of planning arrangement, then I fully support the development of Manston.
Yes and esp. to airports which will have connections for the rest of Europe and intercontinental flights e.g.Frankfurt	Zurich	Avoiding long drives to LHR LGW and Stansted and Luton	
Yes, we really enjoyed flights to Barcelona and Valencia. We also planned to fly to Amsterdam, but the service stopped	Anywhere on the Continent.	Easy to get to.	
YES	GIRONA, SPAIN	GREATEST BENEFITS ARE NO TRAFFIC PROBLEMS AS GETTING TO GATWICK OR STANSTED IS A TOTAL NIGHTMARE	
Yes - very much so!	Toulouse (friends live out there) Malaga, Alicante, Faro European capitals Western Mediterranean Scotland & Ireland	Access to better flight / travel opportunities Increased employment opportunities including in related industries & services	Clearly there will be a need to increase the on-site infrastructure to cope with increased flights, but I'm not sure that access will ever really be other than by road as the costs for what will always be likely to be a smaller, 'satellite', geographically 'marginal' airport would be too great. However, given the good road access from within Kent and Medway in particular I don't think that this is a problem.

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
Yes, I think in these modern times people should not have to travel more than an hour maximum to an airport, half an hour to forty five minutes should be the norm. yes	I think its important to fly to popular destinations, eg Faro, Athens ,Alicante, Majorca,as well as perhaps the Greek Islands, the more destinations that can be covered the greater number of people to interest.	The obvious benefit would be employment.	I think it is necessary for the improvement and expansion of the airport. If we want to have a successful airport in Kent I'm sure its better to expand on something that already there, than build from new!
yes	switzerland	employment	
yes	Murcia, Alicante, Spain	employmentany	any land or alterations needed to gain a successful international airport in this area gets my surport
yes	Aberdeen, Exeter, Leeds, Spain	Locality	No comment
NO!!!!	NONE - I'd rather use existing airports rather than see expansion in Kent	I cannot see any benefits from the increase in noise pollution and increased traffic. I do not believe the old argument that expansion will offer local people work and improve road links - all this does is cause more traffic and therefore pollution.	
Wholeheartedly!	Italy; Spain; Croatia; Turkey	Those of us who live locally will not have so far to travel to an airport, thus reducing carbon footprint, etc.	Not qualified to comment, but presumably more land will be needed for expansion. I'm always concerned about effects on people's housing and comfort living near to an airport, and also effect on environment. However, there has to be a sensitive balance if we want airport expansion.
100 %	dublin ireland	easier travelling with an airport nearby opening or local employment badly needed	there is plenty of land but better roads needed to ease congestionju
yes	Spain including Canaries, Portugal and USA	Removal of traffic from M25 and spread of passenger growth away from Heathrow and Gatwick	
Yes	Amsterdam, Prague, Palma, Las Palmas, Berlin, Hamburg, Cologne, Aicante.	Les travel to Gatwick, Stansted and no need for Lydd or a new airport in the Thames estuary/	A spur from the railway as at Stansted. Not happy about too much land use.
yes	Alicante (regularly) Manchester(regularly) others possibly	Employment easier airport access	would be a definite benefit
Very much. Apart from providing a service to people and business in Kent, it helps focus on Manston's national capability.	Those places that fill the gap between high-speed rail and long haul air.	Economic - reduced congestion at the main London airports - easy access for mid and East Kent - fast check-in.	Thanet needs as much economic regeneration as possible. KIA can provide this and could provide an easy link to the new service to St Pancras.
Yes	Madeira for Christmas, but I would be happy to fly to other destinations as well in the future	Having an airport close by without the hassle of travelling for miles to another airport and it would create much needed employment	I don't have any views at such other than it is an airport that already exists and should be developed to its full potential
Yes	Dublin	To avoid having to travel to and from London Airports	No views

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
Wholeheartedly. It does need improvement to the local highways and links to the mororways. Also a link into the new high-speed train service to London will make it unbeatable via medway Towns and Ashford so that all passengers can use the airport from local communities.	Near europe routes to France, Germany, Italy, Switzerland, Belgium, Holland, Spain.	Missing the slog around the M25 to either Heathrow, Gatwick and Standsted	Keep parking close and reasonably priced. No more than £5 perday. Dual carrieway links as a minimum.
Yes	Spain cyprus Italy	Much easier to travel to.	Exceptable were necessary.
YES	Murcia or Alicante	employment	good for the area
Yes	Europe	Employment in the Thanet area and the regeneration of a dead island	Full support
WE think it is very important for the area, the airport is a great asset.	Alicante would be good for us.	Jobs, more convenient than Gatwick.	Sorry, havn't looked.
No. Given the very close proximity of the airport to Ramsgate and the considerable noise of the current air traffic, it would clearly be detrimental to the whole of the area to have such a vast increase in flights from this airport. The associated development of roads and car parking sites will further destroy the valuable and beautiful farmland in the area.	None	Thanet does not need a further developed Manston.	I am opposed to them
YES YES YES	DUBLIN	Jobs, ease of travel	

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
<p>- I can see the benefits but also some of the problems which need to be fully considered and appropriate plans developed. Providing usage is limited in terms of frequency (say not between 21.00 & 0800);</p> <p>- The return of services needs to: 1- give better/fuller consideration to the noise pollution for persons living West of the airport which are directly beneath any flight path especially as you have inferred that a flight path over the estuary is to be avoided;</p> <p>2- ensure minimal impact of road access to the main hospital at Margate. (current traffic access is limited and likely to get worse as more shopping/business areas are developed) 3- suitable financial provision needs to be made for technical</p> <p>yes</p>	<p>Long Distance but only if serviced by major airlines which can provide the quality of support and information that long distance travel requires. Experience of Budget airlines is that they do not have the flexibility to cater for the unexpected and do not offer facilities to support the regular flyer and ensure waiting time at the airport can be used beneficially. This perception needs to be considered and addressed. Additionally, it is worthy of note that a good percentage of persons in the nearby area are of an elder generation and therefore use air travel at a lesser level to the average. As such a larger volume of passengers will need to be from further afield. This will raise passenger questions of, is it better to travel to KIA versus Gatwick. In the main this will be answered by the quality of road access (e.g. how long will it take to get from Ashford to KIA versus Gatwick and what are the advantages of KIA as regional airports seem not to have the flexibility to manage unforeseen delays or unexpected circumstances as well as the majors. Of incre</p> <p>hamburg teneriffe colonge ibiza</p>	<p>Increased employment opportunities and contribution to local amenities through taxes etc. However, the benefits can easily be undermined/negated if full account of local infrastructure expansion needs are not considered and improved in a timely manner such that key services of Water & Power are not deflected from the local communities by overuse or lack of proper planning/stocking are not considered, planned for and funded. - While there are potentially good benefits to NE Kent, growth in employment needs to consider if the skills are available locally and in sufficient quantity. If not availability or local training and development needs to be considered. Where skills are to be imported to the area the availability of suitable housing needs to be considered and ensure that any development of population growth is implemented together with an appropriate level of investment in local hospital & school capacity as well as the general road, services and environmental infrastructure.</p> <p>not having to travel to other uk airports and recreating jobs in the thanet area</p>	<p>- Must ensure that adequate planning and funding is provided to ensure we do not further undermine or erode the continued use of flood plains and therefore potential for an increase in environmental/Global Warming problems.</p> <p>some of the access roads to the terminal need to be up graded and perhaps the terminal needs to be more updated with the way other major airports are</p>
Yes I most certainly do	Ireland Turkey Egypt Canary Islands	Easy access travel for locals, revenue generated by others using the airport from surrounding areas and businesses, jobs being created for locals	If the china gateway and those hideous plastic tunnels can be allowed then why not something that will benefit us all to the good.
most definetly	any where, as long as there are flights, thats what an airport does	jobs and income	not a problem, not being used for unnecessary developement
yes much needed,	most of europe, spain including valencia.	jobs easy access. quick boarding. on doorstep,	manston should be used for what it is there for a Airport.
Yes, would be more interested in travelling from Manston than Gatwick/Heathrow	America/Europe	More jobs, perhaps better roads in that are.	Think they are a good idea.A lot of land not being used in that are.
yes yes yes	all or any european destinations	much needed tourist potential for kent.... plus very convenient facility for us locals	There is already good road facility but would need better public transport services
Yes	Dublin	Mobility, employment	

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
Only if the volume and timing of flights doesn't trash the quality of life for residents.	British business destinations and European city break destinations.	The most immediate benefit will be shorter travel times (only if KIA is flying where you want go to!). I didn't notice any upturn in the local economy when EUJet was operating, so I would expect a significant lag time before any other benefits become apparent.	These are of more importance to those (poor souls!) living in the immediate vicinity of the airport.
Yes	Canary Islands IN THE WINTER Faro	Employment, less travelling time, convenience,	No problems
Yes	france, belgium, italy, spain	it is very good for people who live in the southeast. it could potentially be the airport everyone in southeast usus instead of going to london to fly. Limited benefits as many of the employees were not from the local area.	i agree as it will be put to good use. people will have to travel less to fly to other countries. In the current economic climate caution should be used in making any significant changes.
Only a limited amount, I have suffered from noise pollution on many occasions because aircraft do not keep to the agreed flightpaths. yes	European destinations possibly. not sure	ease of access to flights to various places	improvements would be needed, however we must remember the deception of the last company and have more safeguards and deal with only reputable UK companies
As I live directly on the flight path, I am extremely apprehensive	Europe/USA	Jobs, ease of access	No objection

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
Not unless you route the flight path so that it doesn't come over Herne Bay. We already get very low flying aircraft, sometimes late at night, right over our residential area. This is particularly frustrating as we live right next to the sea. If you would route aircraft over the sea rather than over houses, then I would be more positive about your proposals.	I will only use you if you are not flying unnecessarily over our town.	Less travel time for those flying.	The A299 will definitely not cope with the traffic volumes you are targetting.
Yes	Linz Austria, Zurich Switzerland	Will bring employment and business to the area.	Whatever it takes.
YES	LIVERPOOL Manchester	Jobs	Fine as long as the airport provides the services I want
yes	Balaerics , Canaries	creation of jobs . easy access to other parts of the world	needs to be done for the good of the area and county
Yes, very much	Italy, France, Spain, Greek Islands, Canaries, Malta, Turkey, Ireland	More work opportunities, increased tourism, financial prosperity, easy access for local people	This is a sound and well thought through approach
Unreservedly yes.	Personally, Larnaca Cyprus, but basically the more flights that become scheduled to anywhere will surely generate more potential. Would London City be a viable option ?	Jobs. Not just 'professional' jobs within the Airport but also in the wider support jobs - baggage handling, retail (on and off site), taxis, hotels, the list goes on...	Build. Road and rail links support the area as well as the airport, and given the size of the Manston site I cannot see the need for any significant expansion into the cabbage fields that surround it.
Emphatically yes.	Manchester	Opening up the region for business and leisure travel throughout the UK and Europe.	Improved surface access arrangements are already almost in place and there seems adequate land availability for improved infrastructure.
Yes!!	Barcelona, Spain	it would put Thanet on the map. Create good quality jobs Thanet could only prosper from the growth of the airport.	I do not have a problem with them.
Yes we do	Spain - Malaga	Saving time to access an international airport	Plenty of suitable land for runways in kent & a lot less intrusive to the public than at Gatwick or Heathrow.
Yes	Faro	Good for the local economy	Happy to see any development that supports the airport.
no	none	no benefits, just more pollution and false economic promises	land should be used for farming. we need food security in the UK and the land around MANston is grade A farmland
yes	canary islands jersey guernsey madiera	Local employment for the community.No long drive to Gatwick or heathrow	

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
Yes, this can only be good for the regeneration of Thanet	European holiday destinations and Florida	It will bring businesses and jobs into the area, reduce road travel for people who currently have to travel to Gatwick, Stansted and Heathrow for flights. one that has been missed so far	My views are that the Councils current policy of not allowing the Airport to be re-developed for housing or Commercial buildings needs to be upheld.
not really- from my experience	any		no view
Definitely..... WE cannot understand why people would prefer to go up to Gatwick or LHR yes	Jersey, Majorca Faro	Jobs + Growth. I travelled to the other side of Canterbury for 22 years because of the lack of skilled jobs in this area. Save journey time to other airports. More opportunity to travel.	Its not possible for airport growth without the supporting industries, fact of life. If its planned right it should be ok. no comment
yes	edinburgh usa	Much shorter travel time to an airport and possibly train/bus links to Manston Employment opportunities	
Wholeheartedly support the return of scheduled services to KIA.	Greece - various/any, Majorca, Lisbon, Gibraltar, Madeira, Azores, Sardinia, plus connections to other airports such as Paris for connections to other destinations further afield.	a) obviate need for long journey to Gatwick, Stansted and Heathrow, reducing pre-holiday expense and stress b) reduction in pollution due to lack of long road journey to get to airport c) easier parking d) less crowds e) better, quicker, easier business connections f) more jobs in Kent	In my view KIA is very nicely placed already in terms of access roads from large portions of the catchment area. I also think it is reasonable to expand these roads and take additional land as necessary for such an important development. However, during development and as a result of increased services due care and attention should be given to the very important aspects of reducing noise and fume pollution to the lowest possible levels and make this an on-going policy matter for regular review and attention. Where possible, flight path approaches should always be made from the sea (Ramsgate) side of the airport.
YES	GLASGOW, EDINBURGH OR PRESTWICK.	CHEAP, QUICK TRAVEL. AVOID LONDON.	
Yes very much so	Murcia Airport (San Javier) Spain	Travel to our home in Spain 4 times a year and hate the journey to Gatwick	All for more useful flights from Manston
Its good for the area but what about the people who are going to be affected by all the noise and pollution who live on the flight paths ? Yes and i live under the flight path	Canary Islands, and the USA	Secures the future of the area as well as employment opportunities for the people of Thanet	As long as access to the airport does not lead to traffic congestion and a rail link is feasible there should be no issues.

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
Yes, definitely.	The more options the better.	Thanet and East Kent would benefit from faster accessibility to Europe and other countries, a must for this internationally focused business world of today.	I think expansion of the road network supporting Manston Airport is essential. There is currently excess land surrounding the airport that is not presently used, therefore this could be utilised, within reason to enable better access and development.
yes	Europe	Employment and convenience of travel	Drprnds what and where
Only if the flights go somewhere useful for me personally. I fly about 40 weeks of the year on business - if I can I fly from London City or else if the routes/times I need are not served from there I go to Heathrow.	Frequently (around once a month or more): Basel (or Zurich would be fine also) Frankfurt Less frequent (once every three months): Berlin Dusseldorf Munich Once/twice a year destinations are: Stuttgart Vienna Copenhagen Stockholm Helsinki Geneva Lyon New York or Newark Las Vegas	Having the facility itself Employment – job creation. The facility will make East Kent more attractive to prospective businesses which will impact on employment indirectly.	
yes	france (ski resorts) and the new normandy destination, spain	jobs, easy access.	fine with that
yes	european, north africa	distance - no expensive taxi fares. cost savings wonderful reception facilities	go ahead
yes	please can we have flights up the UK, especially Belfast, Glasgow,Liverpool,Manchester	I think you already know they are obvious and you have been told hundreds of times!	The benefits re: employment outway the negatives, use quiet aircraft, restrict night landings, holding patterns out to sea
yes	South of France (Nice, Montpellier)	reduced congestion at Gatwick, better access to overseas travel, employment, economy	no views
Yes	Many	Job creation / extra work for local firms such as engineering / catering /public transport alsoTourism	well in favour
Yes	Malta	Improved economy and good access for travellers	In favour of it
Yes	Glasgow, Edinburgh, Inverness.	Proximity and therefore convenient to reach.	relaxed at present. The 'well connected' requirement (above) is a long way off.
definitely	Malaga and Gibraltar	Convenience - Gatwick and other London airports involve expensive transportation costs from East Kent	I would like to see better long term car parking facilites for Manston airport
Yes, very much so.	Spain (Alicante) and Hungary (Budapest).	Being able to get to destinations without having to wait in traffic and travel for hours.	I think if it benefits the airport all well and good.
YES	ITALY	JOBS	SENSIBLE APPROACH NEEDED FROM ALL SIDES

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
Yes, about time.	USA, EU	Economy, Thanet desperately needs this.	I would agree with any to make this a true International Airport.
Yes	Spain, Canary Islands Netherlands & Germany	Employment which is badly needed Not having to travel to far to th Airport	
Yes	Spain and Cyprus	Employment	Good Idea
yes	portugal	short jurney to aiport. easy pre flight admin	none
Yes.	Glasgow Prestwick (PIK), Glasgow or Edinburgh - in that order of preference.	At the moment, air travel internally or abroad all has to go to one of the London airports. There is a large population in South East Kent that would benefit from the convenience of being able to fly to other parts of the UK and to holiday destinations without the added inconvenience and expense of having to go into central London first. At the moment to go ANYWHERE else in the UK by public transport it seems impossible to avoid London - and train links to the capital are at current very slow and expensive. South East Kent deserves another option.	
Most definately	Cuprus and Prague.	Not having to travel long distances, good parking and a small, more manageable airport.	This airport is well sited for use for the whole of East Kent and needs to be developed.
NO	NONE	NONE	TERRIBLE
Yes very much	France, Spain, Italy	Far more convenient and a pleasure to use also a ready made airport and industry for more jobs in the area	Very good master plan
Yes	Canary Islands, especially Gran Canaria and Fuerteventura	Regeneration of Thanet and a viable alternative to Gatwick and Stansted	I'm all for the regeneration of Manston, we are never going to get Cliffe or Maplin so you should go for it. It's the local 'nimbys' and twitchers who will cause you grief.
Absolutely.	Dublin, Europe, other places.	Promotes growth and creates jobs. Don't lose income to Heathrow, et al.	There needs to be more direct travel links to the airport, especially from a main city/hub like Canterbury. It was very inconvenient (unless you had a car or travelled to Ramsgate to then catch a bus) or expensive (taxi, carpark) If you can cut down travel time like the long trek to LHR, LGW, etc, more people will use the airport.
Absolutely	Paphos - Cyprus Edinburgh Spain	Employment Convenience when travelling to family & friends, and ability to see them more often for short or long stays. And for them to be able to visit more often. Regeneration of area. Increase of travellers to area therefore increase in money coming in.	It is good to see some positive planning which can only be good for the area, to see land developed to good use rather than standing empty as some has done for several years.

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
Yes	Edinburgh	Easy access to relatives and friends in the SE coast	Good
Yes	European destinations and long haul, so virtually anywhere	Convenience for people within easy reach of KIA. Jobs. alternative to Heathrow and Stansted expansion	Don't know enough about the subject. Any expansion needs to take into account local needs. Access is relatively easy and the road could be improved as numbers rise.
yes	Spain -Alicante & France	Employment,	Necessary evil
YES!	Malaga	It's so much nicer than flying into horrid Gatwick	
yes	prague spain	easier than going to gatwick stansted manston needs developing im all for it heathrow	
yes	Alicante or Murcia Spain. Faro Portugal	reduction in travelling time. increase in job prospects, and hopefully an increase in tourism	I think they are good as long as there is room for change if to the plans if the need arises
a big yes	alicante spain	jobs and ease to and throw to airport.	
yes 100%	spain	lots of needed jobs	
Yes	Greek Islands, ie Corfu, Crete, Majorca, Nice, Caribbean, Venice, Edinburgh, Amsterdam	Major economic development benefits, much needed quality jobs, more spending power locally, puts Thanet on the map.	Better access by rail and/or road is imperative in order to attract users from further afield than Thanet and East Kent.
yes, totally	spain, mainland and islands, portugal	local , less crouds	will benifit all
Absolutely. This area needs regeneration of jobs, and Uk needs new airport. Why it hasn't been expanded yet is unbelievable.	Europe and North Africa	Employment.	Provide good access roads to avoid congestion such as at LGW.
Yes I do	Malaga	Bringing in business to the local area	I just wish we had an International airport in Kent like Dorset and Hampshire do
Absolutely	Faro - Lisbon - Seville	Convenience, ease of access, employment, parking.	If you are planning to develop an airport that is easy to access, efficient with a good range of destinations. Development would be encouraged.
YES	SPAIN ITALY AUSTRIA U.S.A EUROPE	1.JOBS 2.ON OUR DOORSTEP	NEEDED
yes i do as long as the airport get uesed	malaga spain	jobs and our airport is a great one and is good to get to	i think its good for where we are
Yes I was a share holder in EUJet	Jersey/ Guernsey. Cyprus or Med.	Employment brings wealth to the Area also travel to Gatwick/ Heathrow is difficult	Train conection would be helpfull a good Hotel is also needed

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
Absolutely 100%	Edinburgh	East Kent is a busy, affluent, well populated area of the UK. Gatwick, Luton, Stanstead do not serve the area well, as they are not conveniently located. Manston could become a very popular airport for many.	Not relevant to myself
yes	Verona & Belfast	Growth of East Kent. Jobs	
Yes - wholeheartedly	Scotland and Northern Ireland.	Employment	As long as the environment is well protected then no problems
Yes	Edinburgh Inverness Avignon Pau	Fewer car journeys to London airports, and increased employment in Thanet.	No comment (too far away from my home to have any impact).
yes	to start with just uk airports i.e newcastle, plymouth, inverness, edinburgh etc. people wishing to go to these destinations have to do a 150 round mile trip just to get to an airport or "main line train station".	all round benefits. money, jobs, better future, pride in our district, excitement of an on going concern.	the use of land in the future will restrict your chances of expansion i'm sorry to say.
Yes	Nice Newquay	Greater job prospects in an area of high unemployment.	I fully support any proposals that develop the airport which may include land use.
yes wholeheartedly	main land spain ie murcia/alicante	employment	very good
Most Definitely	Tenerife, Portugal, Republic of Ireland,	Almost everyone would benefit. Travel to Gatwick and Heathrow is a dreadful problem whereas Manston is on our doorstep	First class. Would support
Very much so. The sooner the better	Spain, rest of Europe, North Africa, especially Morocco, the Middle East	1) Convenience for the passengers culmination in extra business/revenue for the locals; 2) Great facilities for those in Kent, and Sussex to find an international airport at their footsteps; 3) The locals could use the airport so close to them, hence reducing the overall costs of travel, parking and time; 4) Airport (especially international) always bring additional business / income to the locals, something that would be very welcome.	The site is quiet barren and expansion of facilities would not affect the locals
yes	everywhere if possible	more jobs, less travelling	great
yes we need it very much	slovakia spain tunis	save going to h/row Gatwick	we need more jobs in Thanet
Yes	Edinburgh	Many Scots would fly in helping local economy and perhaps use as hub for onward flights	go for it

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
Yes	Not from, but TO and FROM the NE of England!	Easier access for family in Newcastle and Madrid. Less hassle to access - good road links; no rail (bad point). Gatwick & Heathrow are AWFUL now.	
Yes	Murcia-Spain, Oporto-Portugal, Malaga-Spain, Los Angeles-USA	Shorter & easier journey to Manston saving major road congestion and fuel rather than using London airports.	Land use is necessary to create the proper infrastructure to cope with existing traffic and future traffic to the airport. Congestion already exists with the rising use of Westwood Cross, so much more is needed
YES	EDINBURGH GLASGOW	CONVENIENCE	SHOULD BE DONE IN AN ENVIRONMENTALLY FRIENDLY WAY.
yes	anywhere in Europe or even further afield	ease of travel without having the trek to London airports some wealth perhaps coming into Thanet	have no objection
We most certainly do. A thriving Airport is definitely needed at Manston.	Reading only flights to Jersey is very disappointing. We would like flights to all the places that flybe go to. The sooner the better. Having spoken to many people about this they all feel the same.	Not having to go to Gatwick or Stansted, Getting to both places is now a nightmare. It will be employment to the area which is badly needed in Thanet.	Naturally to expand the Airport you will require to obtain more land. The benefits in the long run will prove to be worth while we am sure.
Yes	Jersey Nice Rome Turkey	job opportunities convenience of flying from a local airport	Regard the approach as sensible and manageable
Yes	Glasgow	I am replying on behalf of a friend who does not have a computer. Her daughter lives in Whitstable and she would like to be able to fly from Glasgow to Manston.	As I do not live in Kent I do not have any views on this
Yes absolutely.	Cyprus. Maybe use the Jersey flights next year though.	Great benefit so long your fly to the places people want to go.	Great benifet to thanet.
Yes	Greece Tenerife	Airport near to home Jobs created	agree
Yes I do	France Italy Malta Croatia Austria Scotland Ireland Australia America	It will ease the traffic from London Airports, also it will give the public more jobs.	We need some sort of public transport for all the future passengers, and travel lodge for overnight stay, and maybe in the future a larger departure lounge, which will give more jobs.
YES I DO ME AND MY FAMILY FLY ON A REGULAR BASIS TO SEE FAMILY IN BELFAST N.IRELAND AND WOULD LOVE TO GO BACK TO FLYING FROM MANSTON	(BFS)BELFAST INTERNATIONALOR BELFAST CITY GEORGE BEST	THE EASE OF BEING ABLE TO CATCH A FLIGHT FROM WITH IN KENT RATHER THAN HAVING TO GO TO A LONDON AIRRPORT WOULD BE A GREAT BENIFIT	THEY ARE ALL FINE AND WILL BE GOOD
Yes	Balearics, Italy, Jersey, Spain, Croatia	Ideal location, set in flat land with a lot of barren waste ground undeveloped (as yet) and ideal for further airport/admin/car parking extensions in the future.	Do not understand the question.
A definite YES	as many as possible	more jobs more links more money coming to our area	Good change is needed to improve airport

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
Yes very much	Scotland	More jobs for local people and less time spent travelling to other airports when we have this wonderful facility on our doorstep	Would be very happy to see any development that would bring schedule flights back to Kent
YES	GIBRALTAR BODRUM ALICANTE CRETE	GROWTH, HIGH EMPLOYMENT, CONNECTIVITY	IT SHOULD BE IN HARMONY WITH THE SURROUNDING AREA, THE INFRASTRUCTURE IS ALMOST COMPLETE, AND WITH THE PROMISED FAST RAIL LINK TO THE CITY IT CAN ONLY IMPROVE.
YES	tenerife, portugal, northern/southern ireland , channel islands,northern england, u.s.a., far east ,hong kong ,australia/new zealand,canada, europe	high employment, boost for the economy,and travelling convenience	as and when required,there does'nt seem to be too much farming on the selected areas
YES< YES< YES	Alicante, Spain Please	Better for people like me to get to see family etc. more jobs locally etc	Don't really know
yes	Edinburgh spain canary island	the best benefits for thanet and east kent is WORK and travel	as long as the planning is done right the future should be good for manston
Yes	Alicante and U.S.A.	Easy access and hopefully less A.T.C. delays	O.K.
I certainly support the return of schedule services. I think that Thanet could benefit from more jobs. Certainly for me living in France a low cost airline would be great.	Limoges, Paris, Edinburgh, Glasgow and Dublin.	More jobs, easier to get to than Stansted. Also Thanet may be able to get some EEC funding.	Better transport system would benefit Kent and Thanet.
Absolutly, It has always been a pleasure to use our "Local Airport". My self and my wife can't wait for more flights to begin.	Murcia, Alicante	I can see more vistors comming from abroad, to our local towns, ie Canterbury. Also it gives us a choice from Ferrys or Channel Tunnel	I don't understand the question
Yes - very much	Edinburgh, Glasgow, Aberdeen or Dundee in Scotland In Europe - Paris, Rome, Palma, Barcelona, Nice and Austrian and German destinations	Not having to travel to and from Gatwick Airport. This would save a lot issues. of hours travelling.	N/A - I live in Scotland so don't feel I should comment on local
Definitely	Glasgow	Easier access to the south east of England	
yes	Europe and Long Haul	Those who live in the South East will have a local airport with more europe destinations	
Yes, absolutley	Dusseldorf, Milan, Malaga, Faro, Any Scotland, Dublin, Nice	Employment opportunities, More money spent in our area, business links opportunities, better place to live	No problem. It is pretty barren round that area and the landowners will benefit.

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
Yes, we were frequent flyers with EU Jet	The Canary Islands	The ability to travel with ease within the UK and foreign travel	Manston MUST have good travel links with London, the land around Manston can then be developed for housing and light commercial use, a good rail link with London is essential.
Yes...Yes....Yes definitely	Malaga & Arrecife spain la manga	Convenience of travel.. time saving and local job opportunities. for me no traveling to london airports	A means to a very good and beneficial end No problems
Yes	Edinburgh, Amsterdam, Germany	jobs, accessibility, bringing tourists	don't have any
100%	I used to fly to and from scotland very regularly	no need to commute to london just to get to an airport, local jobs	whatever it takes to make the airport work
Yes. I think the development of KIA is preferable to a new development in the Thames estuary. Good for the local economy of Thanet and an attractive alternative to other London airports. Yes, yes, yes	Algarve, central / southern France, USA Edinburgh please, I used to fly at least twice a month and the planes were always full	Employment opportunities. Increased regional revenue. Environmentally less offensive than developing London airports. Approach to KIA is over sea or lightly populated areas. The economic benefits would be excellent. It would allow business to re-locate to a rural ish location away from the city and bring more jobs and economic growth to the area	Overland journey from airport to destination is the main drawback, due to KIA's geographical location. Proposed road development with links to Thanet Way and motorways OK as far as it goes. Cannot make Dartford / M25 much closer than 1 hour away. In order to get to London more quickly, improving rail links would seem to be the key. Have you considered flight connections to other UK regional airports? I have no problems with these - Kent needs an International Airport - just think of the link up you could do with the Channel Tunnel
yes	italy spain greece	offers jobs to the people of the surrounding towns. tourist benefits to the surrounding towns. easier access to the local people to an airport rather than having to go to london to get a plane!	
Yes	Edinburgh, Glasgow, Inverness, Manchester, Newcastle, Dublin, Belfast, Amsterdam.	A local airport, no long queues waiting to check in, same when claiming baggage. Easy access	Perhaps the land could be used for hotel, if Westwood Cross had not been built it would have been a good site for perhaps retail shopping, but obviously this is no longer viable.
Very much .	Faro	A. Employment B. Good access roads with little traffic C. Cheap airport parking D. Excellent booking in facilities E. A more personal approach F. More people using local hotels etc & spending money in the area.	I think Mr Boris Johnson should forget his ideas about another new airport and start promoting Manston as the other London Airport.
Yes, provided services are operated by a reliable airline who stick to their published timetable. EUJet failed in this respect.	Major cities/holiday destinations in Europe. Being able to connect to long haul routes at a hub airport.	Jobs	Improve the last bit of road from the A299
Yes, definitely.	Paphos/Cyprus, Malta, Girona/Barcelona.	Employment and local financial improvements.	The sooner the airport is developed, along with maybe a rail link, the sooner Thanet can start to prosper.

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
Absolutely, we need this airport for european and uk flights to support growing business opportunities for the SE.	Schipol, Dusseldorf, Munich, Milan, Prague, Barcelona and the major UK cities.	Firstly travel to Manston from elsewhere in Kent is, in general going to reduce the traffic loading from Kent onto the overloaded M25 both clockwise and anti clockwise. Secondly to have such a useful airport means the distance travelled by car to and from will help reduce the carbon footprint. It will create employment in the Thanet area where it is much needed to boost the local economy. It will assist trade in Kent to local businesses and attract more companies to the area of SE Kent. It becomes a valuable asset to Kent which given the density of population certainly requires an airport when compared with other regions of the UK.	While it is very difficult to tie down valuable land adjacent to the airport to accede to the requirements of a developing airport per the plan, if the planning authorities treat the proposals per the draft Masterplan with sympathy and timely actions then the land in question need not be blighted for long. It is a positive step forward to use the land to expand the airport and attract the kind of investment by passenger and freight operators. Other possible airport sites in the county are totally lacking in such opportunity without creating much greater environmental disruption. It is a good use for the adjacent land. It will attract other development opportunities in the neighbouring areas to benefit of all.
Yes	Edinburgh	Convenience, Employment, Tourism, Hassle free travel	In favour
Yes	Malaga	Job opportunities	Kent is well served by the road network and, providing Manston doesn't intend to expand to the size of Heathrow, there should be minimal environmental impact.
Yes	Dublin ,Faro	easier travel to airport. Employment opportunities	
Yes	Anywhere in Europe and particularly to Israel	Not having to negotiate the M25!	It should be developed as much as possible.
yes	European cities	avoid going to Gatwick/Heathrow	none
Not if they keep flying over Tankerton instead of over the sea en route to Manston	European	Not having to face the M25 to Gatwick	The flight path approach to Manston should be over the sea not the Whitstable area
Yes I think its a great Idea	Ise of mann mosytly but any.	Jobs, essy travel.	
Yes	Inverness	Time saving and less congestion getting to the other London airpots	
Yes	Alicante/Murcia	Ease of travel and local employment opportunities	Positive
I got caught out with EUjet and lost money plus had to pay a huge amount to change travel arrangements, I would have to be very certain that the airline was reliable. (as much as possible in todays current state)	Europe. France Nice, Spanish costas, Portugal, Greece. Canaries.	Employment, house prrise rise (not good for all!!!) Chance of regeneration. Thanet not very desirable at moment!	Needs to be sympathetic with environment issues. otherwise you will have Greenpeace on your backs!

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
Yes I do support the return of scheduled flights but within certain times of the day, no night flights and not too many flights.	Spain, Gran Canaria, Italy, Amsterdam and Italy	It will bring jobs to an area that at present is deprived.	I do not think the airport should expand any further but the land it already has should be put to better use for revenue.
Yes	Weekend and short breaks to British and other European cities e.g. Edinburgh, Barcelona, Rome, Paris, Reykjavik...		
yes most positive	MANCHESTER, FARO, SPAIN(VARIOUS) MALTA GIBRALTAR	BRINGING MUCH NEEDED ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND EMPLOYMENT APART FROM THE MOST CONVENIENT TRAVEL DEPARTURE POINT.	From my point of view access to the airport is excellent, Flight departures and landings create little or no additional noise pollution. Development commercially as well as residential is essential for the long term economic growth for the area.
yes	Malaga	increased employment opportunities	all ok as its an underused area needing regeneration
Yes	Manchester, Dublin, Malaga, Nice and Australia.	Job opportunities and easy access to a local airport.	I am all in favour of your plans.
Yes	Cyprus, Eire France	Aids economic prosperity	We require a better rail link.
Yes	Alicante San Javia, Murcia	Supplying travel to people who reside in the southern part of the country	If it benefits the area, no problem
YES	ALMERIA OR MURCIA SPAIN	EMPLOYMENT, NO DELAYS, EASY PARKING, TO ENCOURAGE FURTHER DEVELOPMENT BY OTHER COMPANIES IN THE THANET AREA	AGREE
Absolutely, yes!	Alicante/Murcia	Generating employment & cultural links	Providing there is consensus with residents who may be affected by increased flight frequency, Manston could be a viable alternative to London's saturated airports.
Yes	Edinburgh or Dundee	Being able to see our family more often. Flying to City Airport from Dundee means we have another 2 hour journey to Folkestone.	As long as future land use planning is done sympathetically, I have no objection
yes	Alicante or San Javier	time saving and creating jobs	not sure
Yes	Cyprus - Larnaca airport	More jobs for the area and more convenient to travel to the airport than having to go to Gatwick	No problems
yes	Spain, Majorca, southern France, Italy, The Canaries, Egypt, Cyprus, Germany (Frankfurt), Greek Islands	Ability to fly abroad from local airport; increasing tourism to east Kent; creating more local jobs.	No strong opinions.

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
YES-MY SISTER AND FRIENDS LIVE THERE AND I THINK IT SHOULD BE A GATEWAY FOR DUBLIN/KENT/CANTERBURY TRIPS.	DUBLIN!	a KENT/DUBLIN AXIS --EITHER FOR FURTHER DESTINATIONS OR WEEKEND IN EITHER PLACE OR LINKAGE WITH FRENCH TRAIN.	PLENTY OF ROOM IN AREA.
Yes	Europe (various) Edinburgh Glasgow Manchester Liverpool Birmingham/Coventry Cardiff	Environmental - reduced emissions caused by road journeys to Gatwick, Heathrow or Stansted. Employment (directly at airport plus supporting infrastructure etc)	I see rail link to airport as high priority and road links need to be planned to do as much as possible to alleviate existing issues at Westwood Cross.
Yes	Mainland Europe	Commercial Regeneration, Employment, Trade, Buisness relocation to KIA area especially those with extensive business interests in the EU.	It must take into account public sentiment with regard to environmental issues.
yes	alicante	Easier access and jobs	
Very much so	Zurich, Montpellier and Beziers	Should add to economic activity in Isle of Thanet area This is ridiculous is this the Labour government authorising this airport and for who?	Not aware of any.
No - I have said this before we need to reduce our carbon emissions and having another airport is NOT the way! We are not the USA people will travel an extra 30 mins to another airport if it means we are not killing ourselves and our planet in the future.			
Yes	Gran Canaris, would be nice	MORE jobs for the local communitie	Need to have to make it all work
yes all the way	U S A , ,if its here it would be used	employment , investment, people	build it and they will come
Yes	Belfast in Northern Ireland	Business and pleasure	none
Yes	Malaga and Dublin	Ease of arrival to south of England and employment	Not being resident in the area (only a regular visitor) my main hope is that the airport operates more international flights.
Yes this would considerable cut down journey times to the North Kent Area	Dublin To Kent International Airport	It should revitalise the whole area with many jobs and money comeing from the airport, Also an increase in visitor to that part of Kent	Speed them up
Yes	Zante, Jersey, Scotland, Ireland, Spain,	Employment, good transport links	

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
Yes very much so,with a major airline to service Europe. yes	Southern France (Nimes), Spain, Portugal, Slovenia, Croatia jersey guernsey stanstead	The amount of fuel and time driving on busy roads that would be saved. work no more travelling to other airports	Yes good,To much time has been wasted already get things moving. in favour
No. It's been tried so many times before and always failed. There isn't the catchment area to justify a comprehensive schedule of flights and wide variety of routes.	Far East, Caribbean, Africa, South America	There's no benefit to the local community; in fact there is a net negative effect when taking into account the increased noise pollution and traffic congestion.	Cloud cuckoo land! Where will the money come from? The Government will never pay for the infrastructure costs.
yes, would be great to see aircrats flying over everyday and not having to travel to a large airport and wait for ages to board an aircraft.	Faro (portugal) France Spain also some long haul flights (san francisco etc)	i think that people in kent will be able to go on holiday, but be on holiday as soon as they arrive... gatwick and heathrow are very stressfull and busy. The airport is situated well but a bus and train network must be in place.... the roads are good but must be able to expand in future. local people will not want to sit in traffic	i think that manston should get some contracts.. if the prices are right people will travel from places like london. The airport would be very useful for business travelers in kent and could bring money to the people of kent. I think the airport should expand but stay small. Large airports are stressfull. When running late. Many miss their flights running through an airport that is way to big. Nobody likes large airports where you have to walk for miles.
Most definitely, none of the other airports which serve this part of the country cater to East Kent so it becomes costly and involves a protracted journey to include getting to H'row, Gatwick or Stanstead when making travel plans.	I would like to be able to fly to Madrid and for my family in Madrid to be able to fly to Kent, we do this journey several times a year and it adds hours to the trips plus extra costs. Also to Dublin for the same reasons as above.	I believe a good airport would revitalise East Kent and although there will always be those who object to an airport and some account must be taken of people's views, the interests of East Kent as a whole matter more. Business is more likely to be attracted to this part of the country if there is a good airport and I believe the infrastructure would evolve from that.	Unless we include ourselves in plans to be better connected then we remain a backwater, riding roughshod over environmental issues would be counter productive but so would bowing to every little concern over land use, inevitably some will protest but I hope they don't rule the day.
I do not support the expansion to the proposed level - it would make Ramsgate uninhabitable. Some limited increase in traffic would be acceptable.	Some medium-distance European locations.	The greatest disadvantage would be the increase in noise to residents in Ramsgate and other areas. Some expansion would increase jobs opportunities, but they should not be at the expense of ruining Ramsgate.	A new station would make sense, and the current access roads seem appropriate for a limited expansion of the airport.
YES	AMSTERDAM,DUBLIN,MANCHESTER,	MORE JOBS & MORE CONVENIENT	
yes	prague, cyprus, spain, florida	economic growth, employment	growth and benefits to local services people justify future land growth
Not if it means more noise and pollution and aircraft flying at night.		None because the infrastructure is not available	We do not agree with it, it will destroy the fields and countryside.

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
Yes	Europe: UAE: Asia: America: Australia: N.Z.	Increased work prospects for younger people: more tourist: Less congestion and travel times to airport: More light industry using the airport for distribution of products to remainder of U.K. and rest of Europe	Not sure that the plans go far enough. More land should be purchased. Maybe an alternative route to the airport rather than through the proposed Chaina Gateway site or through Manston village.
no	nowhere	a handful of jobs? I've seen the airport operating before and it made no discernible difference to Ramsgate's economy.	
Ok if not late at night and if not too many of them. Live under flight path. Yes,very much,this part of Kent needs an airport.	None particularly. Spain,Italy,Canaries etc	Employment. Employment,which this area really needs. It would put this area on the map	I don't like the idea of more surrounding land being used. No problem with this,if its going to help create welth fo the area
Yes	UK Internal and European, including Mediterranean	Improve connections for business users	I'm comfortable with the use of the land around the airport being used for commercial and airport use
Yes - subject to conditions on flight times & noise levels YES	Southern Spain (Malaga/Jerez/Gibraltar) EUROPE. POSSIBLY USA	Employment, improved inferstructures (Rail/Roads) & tourism EASIER ACCESS THAN GATWICK OR HEATHROW.LESS TRAVEL & HASSLE	Exsisting already identified industrial use land should be developed first (i.e. The loop) DO WHAT EVER IS NECESSARY TO IMPROVE THE FACILITIES
Yes,	Mid France, Italy, Portugal	Employment, this would lead to an improved cash flow within the community	Controlled, with the enviroment in mind
NO	NONE	I don't feel the advantage of more jobs is worthwhile versus the disruption to the area by the airport.	Too much land in this area has already been concreted over and traffic is already often at a standstill. Airport expansion will only make matters worse.
No. London has all of the services and it is JUST an hour away.	None.	None. The noise and air pollution more than makes up for any benefits it might have.	I am horrified that this might take place. In the event of this happening, I would be interested to know if you have other plans to make donations to residents of Ramsgate in the event that properties become devalued as a result of this and to provide us with noise-pollution preventatives such as double-glazed windows.

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
Yes	Manchester, Edinburgh,Belfast,Dublin,Amsterdam,Prague ,Spain,Greece ,USA.	Saving people time not having to drive to Gatwick or Heathrow. Creating jobs for local people in an area of Kent that needs investment.	Their is plenty of land and open space around the Manston area which could be developed for airport expansion without creating the problems such expansion would cause at Gatwick or Heathrow. With good road connections and maybe extending the railway line at the end of the eastern end of the runway into the airport this has to be one of the only solutions to solving the need for more runway space in the south east.
Yes	Sydney Florida Havana	It's handy for people who don't really live near London airports	Yes
Yes, I found it a joy to fly from Manston	Amsterbam Prague, and Newcastle	Obvious benefits would be jobs and not having to use M25 in this area	
No	None	Er...none	
no	none	none	do not do it
Absolutely.	USA Plymouth	Hopefully more employment for the locals as well as enabling more tourism in the area.	PROTECT THE AQUIFER!!! PROTECT THANET'S WATER.
no	none	none	
YES	AMSTERDAM,MANCHESTER,DUBLIN,FRANK FURT,ORLANDO	JOBS,CONVENIENCE,	IN AGREEMENT WITH MASTER PLAN
Yes, fully. This area is in dire need of any investment in local jobs. It seems no one is listening to the twenty somethings that this investment will help in the future. The loud mouthed middle aged minority seem to drown out everybody else with their anti-development nonsense.	Not the point. Investment is the key here. So the more destinations WELL UTILISED the better.	There are many benefits including entering Thanet and south east Kent into the globalized markets London appreciates. With development of infrastructure connecting the Airport to major Motorways and Rail lines, there is great potential for this isolated area. Business will be willing to invest if the area is well connected via road, rail and air, which it currently is not.	Will be viewing documents this week.
No	non	There are none quite the opposite it is a blight	
Not on a full schedule basis such as Stanstead/Luton. there is no infrastructure to support such expansion and the lack of peaceful skies would be a disaster.	I would rather travel to another airport.	I think the disadvantages would outweigh the advantages. The town/area is still a beautiful one and the misery caused by the constant air traffic would be horrific.	

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
Yes	As many as possible	Broadening cultural awareness to locals through increased travel opportunities. Job creation for the area. Increased visitors to the area to use the airport.	Whatever needs to happen to get the service successfully working should happen.
no	none	there are no benefits to the community only noise and the potential for pollution and an air crash	In a time of dwindling resources building up airports is complete madness we should be reducing them not making them bigger
No.	None. I prefer LHR or LGW	None. In fact it would blight the local community and environment.	I would prefer agricultural or light industrial usage to having large jet aircraft flying over my house at all times of the day and night.
no	none	none whatsoever	Road access to Ramsgate is blocked by the airport right now. I'd like to see the runway re-marked as a dual carriageway straight into/out of Ramsgate. It would see a lot more traffic
yes	European	Economic	No view
Yes especially domestic flights and european	Glasgow, paris, amsterdam, spain (Most preferred if Kent can do flights to Hong Kong as well)	easier than travelling all the way to london.	you can still use the runway without expanding it because you are just starting the business, concentrate on the service of the people.
yes we do we go on holiday every year and would love to hop in the car and drive 15 mins up the road and we are at the airport	we go to tenerife majorca next year turkey we would like to try cyprus,greece anywhere we sometimes go longhaul if we have the money	jobs	as long as they are done with out damaging the environment im ok about it
Yes, unreservedly.	I believe that KIA could usefully contribute scheduled internal UK destinations, which would enhance residents and businesses opportunities for speedy and effective travel in the UK. Thanet is close to London but a little remote from the central and northern manufacturing industry. Customers hesitate to use Thanet businesses where a nearby choice is available and it also makes contact with clients elsewhere in the country more difficult. The ability to fly to Birmingham, Manchester, or Glasgow, say would be a tremendous benefit to residents and businesses alike.	Enhancement of travel facilities means prosperity both in terms of ease of use and job creation.	It would appear that the approach has been well thought out and considerate, even to those who would oppose such plans without valid reason.

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
I support them in a limited amount. I do think that the airport could have great benefits for Thanet but that six million passengers a year would ruin the very thing that people might come to Thanet for; peaceful beaches and relaxed seaside towns.	European destinations.	I think there is clear benefit in terms of connectivity to Europe when combined with the high-speed rail link. I think it could bring in people and jobs to Thanet but if the airport gets too big, then the very people brought in on the planes won't want to remain somewhere so noisy.	
The airport could given a chance could and would breed some life into both Thanet and Kent	Spanish resorts +italy and maderia I also would like to see passengers from scotland coming to Kent and kent passengers going to Scotland as you have airports in both destinations	Little or no travelling +jobs FOR LOCAL PEOPLE	As long as they are well thought out
yes it would save the hassle of gatwick	any in spain	creating jobs	its got to be good for the local economy
Yes,most definately	Palma,Pula,Prague,Agadir,Ercan	Inflow of money and related job opportunities,which that money would represent	K.I.A. already enjoys good road access and the extension of the airport activities would generate some land use for airport related activities but these should not be problematic bearing in mind thje airport has been in existence for over half a centuryand carried more passengers in the 1960's than now!
YES	Malaga, Alicanti, Prague, Faro, Gurnsey, Palma(Majorca), Barcelona	Employment	I do not think the land use in your plan will be detrimental to the area, it's an excellent idea to have a parkway station. Any major road improvements will benifit the whole area and not just the airport. Eastonways buses will certainly have to review their timetable.
yes	depends on your flights	employment less traveling for holiday destinations	the plans are very good, and behind the airport 100%
Yes i do.	Reus (Spain), Barcelona, Edinburgh, Belfast, Paris.	Well i think that it could be a great oppertunity to the community, Providing reasonable cost flights to many places in europe, Even outside of europe would be excellent. Litrally all on your front doorstep, I think it would attract alot of people to the airport as it has really been low ever since Eujet went. And i really think everyone who does travel alot or likes a great holiday would benefit from it. Especially not having to drive all the way to gatwick or heathrow, It would be fantastic!	They're fine and i dont think anyone will have too much trouble with them anyway.
DEFINATELY NO NO NO NO NO NO!!!!!!	NONE	NONE. IT will generate more pollution and noise than is acceptable for this area!!	Totally against

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
In preference to the building of another airport in Kent, most definitely.	Depends on where we want to go on holiday	Reduces the need to go to other airports in the UK therefore reducing the waste of travelling more than necessary.	I have seen plans for a rail station between Foley Lane & Sandwich Rd. This could be connected via electric shuttlebuses to the terminal.
whole heartedly	canary islands greece sharm el sheikh portugal menorca southampton	more jobs	agree
Yes	Nice, Toulon, Berlin	Increased employment, greater economic prosperity.	Proposals seem sensible.
Yes	Europe - Croatia, Czech Republic, Germany, Italy, Poland & Ireland	Not having to travel to London or Gatwick for air travel. Jobs.	Should definitely have a train station on site or their will be too much traffic on the roads
YES	EU countries (Crete, Gran Can., Spain) Transatlantic, Melbourne, Orlando USA	This will aid the exchange of multi-cultural business and public holidays and employment	It is a shame it has taken so long the airport has always been a part of Thanet and should of had these injection a long time ago.
No.	None - I would like to see the permanent closure of KIA.	The main achievement of the proposed development for the community will be a disbenefit, not a benefit; increased noise and air pollution. Aviation is a very inefficient job-creator. Jobs in this sector are also highly uncertain in the face of the looming recession, peak oil and the need to tackle dangerous climate change. They are also for the most part underpaid menial service jobs. Furthermore, regional airport development has been show to suck capital out of the regions in which it takes place; only the South East does not suffer from a large tourism deficit.	The surface access arrangements are inadequate, and together with the plans for a huge 'Chinese Globalisation Centre' nearby will make road use around Manston intolerably congested for local residents.
Yes	USA, UAE, Australia, Thailand, some European & U.K. Destinations	Employment, Tourism, Income to the local economy	In the short term acceptable but in the long term (10 years)more land purchase and development needs to take place. With the markets as they are. Now is the ideal time for purchase and developments to take place.

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
Definitely for too long there has been a BAA monopoly on air travel in the London area. The re-introduction of scheduled air services at Kent International Airport signals a change for the better.	Initially I think that there is potential for increasing services within the EU to destinations such as Dublin which already is one of the most popular from Heathrow. I also feel that there is a strong business case for longhaul services from the Kent area as you are better placed than Paris for those in NW France. Emirates airlines I know for one is looking at operating A380's to the United Kingdom on all services where there is sufficient capacity. I am sure that this could include KIA too as an alternative to Gatwick. Whilst a lot of the comments relate to the development of passenger services I am a strong believer that KIA could take a large share of the cargo market due to the excellent links to the Motorway network and access to the continent via both Eurotunnel and ferries. I am sure with the correct marketing the cargo only operations currently going to our overcrowded London airports could be encouraged to switch to yours.	There will naturally be a number of benefits such as additional employment, especially useful in these modern days with a large EU workforce moving around the member states.	I would support the inclusion of a clearway zone around the airport in order to ensure that the airport has space to expand unlike airports such as Heathrow and Gatwick where villages will be pulled down. The development of a high speed railway line and access to the Eurostar stations would be a useful tool.
Yes	All :-)	Employment	Good idea
Yes	Budapest Hungary,	Greater Jobs	Have no problem with any of your plans
Yes	Edinburgh Glasgow Amsterdam Dublin Luxembourg Zurich Jersey Bristol Munich Frankfurt Cork	Locality of business flights Mon am and Fri eve instead of having to get around the M25 to other airports Jobs directly and indirectly	The airport needs to expand to be viable.
Yes	Newcastle, Alicante, Palma de Mallorca, Dublin, Belfast, Manchester, Prague, New York	Employment and economic development	Road access should be improved along both access roads independently and in conjunction with the proposed High Speed Rail link to London
Yes indeed, can't wait	Europe, Norway, Sweden, Spain, France, Italy, Germany, Ireland, Scotland.	Speed of travel, parking, efficient service	Understand them all. It needs to happen, we have been waiting years.
Yes, I was a frequent flyer with Eujet and enjoyed the using Manston airport several times	I would like to see the return of internal UK and Ireland flights, my most used was Manchester	ease of travel around the UK, Thanet is out on a limb when it comes to transport links, everything has to go via London.	no concerns

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
Yes	Blackpool Airport	Not having to go to London	sounds good.
Yes i fully support them	Dublin as the main one, then Portugal, Spain, France	Saving of time in getting to the airport	I have not issues
Yes	Shannon, Dublin, Glasgow, Dusseldorf,	Good for business, avoiding london airports	I am open minded
yes	any routes you can go to	We need a airport in this corner of kent. It will provide jobs to the area of Thanet. the times I have travelled it was a atmosphere of community, people comming locally to fly off to wherever.	ok
yes, i highly support thr schdeduled flights as it saves much time and money for thousands of people around kent and the south-east of england.	long-haul flights such as america or popular destinations within europe e.g. spain and portugal.	The greatest benefit in my mind is that it saves a lot of convinience. Just driving 20 minutes down the road compared to nearly 2 hours driving to heathrow! More tourism for kent and the surrounding areas and it will put manston on the map, where it should be!	well i think they are good. A new terminal is essential as the terminal now is just not sufficeint in size or in quality. It would meen far better travelling quality for the passengers and for the future more check-in descks to cope with new airlines to new destinations.
yes	lanzarote, madeirs, new castle	employment	great
yes definitely	prague, venice, european destinations	easy travel, without hassle of travelling to London	use of land seems valued
Yes	None	Greater congestion, Greater noise polloution, Damage to the environment.	As above
Yes	Europe, Med Coast	Greater Job oppotunities,	I currently live on the estate next to the spitfire museum and support and welcome any growth of the Airport. Considering how close I live to the airport I cannot understand peoples complaints about noise. I have lived here since 2001 and really dont notice the noise. SO GO FOR IT
My support would depend on the routes available. In general I do not support inland routes. I would strongly support the introduction of routes to the rest of europe	amsterdam, cologne, mannheim, munich, pisa	enhanced social mobility, local jobs for local people and more money/visitors being encouraged into the local area	I am concerned about the amount of possible new buildings. The area is fairly open and I would prefer it to stay this way for as long as possible.
Yes very much so, not only for my own travel but also for job options.	Greece, Turkey, Mainland Spain, Cyprus, USA, Dublin	Convenience and job options.	Fantastic!
Yes	Spain	Bringing jobs to kent	If we want Kent to do well then we must expand

Do you support the return of scheduled air services to Kent International Airport?	Which destinations would you most like to fly to from Kent international Airport?	What do you see as the greatest benefits to the community of having a well connected airport at Manston in East Kent?	What are your views on the approach to future land use planning and surface access arrangements?
Yes, very much so.	Murcia (Spain) would be our first choice, or Alicante.	Travel would be so much easier and convenient for people in this region. Also creating jobs in the area.	
test	test	test	test

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

Open-Ended Response

Would love to see the return of passenger services to Manston. Most disappointed when EU Jet failed probably through too many routes too early. Would try to support any destination.

I wish you well with your plans

I have family living north of Edinburgh and used the previous flights to Edinburgh on a very regular basis. It was much easier to fly from Manston and it is a service which is greatly missed. If the flight to Edinburgh is reinstated it would be a very popular route for many people.

It can only be good not only for business but tourism as well as people get tired of driving to destinations and even by trains coaches etc it is so much easier a less time consuming to arrive at ones destination, but it needs to be handled carefully and with full co-operation of the general public.

Forget 3rd runway at Heathrow, spend the money on high speed rail to Manston.

Will there be competition from Boris and his estuary airport which might be an even better idea?

I personally and other families , mainly english who live around this area would be delighted to see Kent International Airport back in bussiness.Also spanish people who often go to Canterbury or visit other towns in Kent and S.E.

Please instruct flightcrew to use the English Channel by way of flight path,not as some annoyingly do and fly over Kingsdown,Deal etc,there should be no need to fly over these areas when you have the sea so close at hand.Some of these flightcrews just do not seem to think about the inconvenience that they cause .I look forward to your reply.

I cannot understand when in an age where air travel is getting increasingly expensive and is causing people to holiday in England you would think it appropriate to ruin one of England's best (and currently under appreciated) seaside towns by flying aircraft over it. Coupled with the fact that the high speed rail link is likely to encourage more people to move to the area (which will definitely be of benefit to the area). The airport expansion will only deter this from happening, resulting in no regeneration or new wealth in the area.

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

The airport is creating air, noise and light pollution. The longer it is there, the more it will increase in size and the more local residents will suffer. We must also face the fact that Climate Change is happening, and having a growing airport, whose planes are the worst constituents of CO2 emissions, is crazy.
try to get more airlines to use kent international

Why have all the hassle of public enquiries and protesters to build an extra runway at Heathrow, Gatwick or Stansted when there is a perfectly good runway crying out for use at KIA. Being so near the coast, planes will not have to overfly many houses. The airport has been there for many years so long term residents will know that aircraft are so much quieter now.

Manston is too far away from the centres of population in the South East compared to Gatwick, Stansted, Heathrow & Luton to be a major airport. Expanding the airport will be of very limited benefit to the region compared to the impact on the environment. Manston should stick to being a small freight handling airport with minor aircraft repair and servicing capabilities.

Would definitely travel from Manston if available flights to the Canary Islands & Europe

Both myself and my wife object to Master plan for the reasons given above. This is not a 'nimby' viewpoint but a well researched support for the environmental argument and the need for a re-think given the global downturn. Given that this is where we have chosen to live a development of this scale would destroy our quality of life and increase through pollution the real danger of cancer and stress related illness.

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

We are very scared for the future of our town (Tankerton) - if the air traffic continues to increase as it has the last few years it will destroy this town's character - renowned for peace and quiet and a picturesque beach for long walks, thinking, reading, swimming and inspiration. It is a lovely scene here in the summer, filled with people of all ages enjoying the sun and the sea and your planes are already flying in low off the sea, straight over Tankerton Slopes (when they should be flying in over Reculver) towards Manston. Nothing is less relaxing than a Jumbo Jet screaming over your head. The noise has been so loud and frightening at times I've rushed out into the garden to see what on earth is going on. (I'm only 36 and worked in London for years so am no stranger to loud noises). I sat in the garden one day for just 45 minutes, counting 15 loud aircraft, one straight after the other, before giving up and going indoors. This isn't particular weather conditions - this is all weather conditions but particularly very still and sunny conditions. Please have some respect for residents in further out towns who

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

Theres nothing more I would like to see than regular flights from Manston. I know it's a view shared by all my family and friends. The road infrastructure in the UK and volume of traffic make a journey to other airports a stressful and unpleasant experience.

Constant air travel growth is the economics of the madhouse. There was a time when it cost less to fly from Manston to Barcelona than to catch the train from Ramsgate to London. I'm left speechless that we use airfreight to import food from a starving continent. You'll have gathered by now that I'm no fan of any airport. I look forward to the day when people realise the damage that air travel is doing to our world.

WE A FAMILY OF 4 TRAVEL FREQUENTLY FROM GIRONA TO STANSTED AND I REALLY HATE IT BUT WE HAVE NO CHOICE. IF MANSTON WAS OPEN WE WOULD BE USING IT A LEAST ONCE EVERY 6 WEEKS AS WE HAVE ALL OUR FAMILY FROM CANTERBURY.

I believe that the 'poulation' estimate is too high, and that the travel zone would be smaller - mainly Kent and Medway, and possibly also outer SE London. Road links with East Sussex are not direct and I don't believe that people living nearer to Gatwick or north of the Thames (and so near Stansted) would necessarily regard Manston as an option. I hope good lessons will indeed have be learnt from the EUJet experience - we flew with them to Palma on one of our currently only 2 flights from Manston. The other was this summer on a KM Messenger package, flying with VLM to JERSEY - so we cannot get very excited about the fact that this will be the first Flybe destination! When you fly to Jersey you basically go to Jersey, but other destinations like Toulouse, Malaga, Alicante, Faro would open up much wider areas either for foreign home owners or just for wider exploration. Furthermore, not sure who would necessarily want to fly in to Manston apart from those who had previously flown out from there - for reasons of geographical distance from so much of the rest of Britain.

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

I think that as the airport expands over the years it needs to attract the popular airline operators, perhaps Easy Jet or Ryanair, as I'm sure these types of airlines would attract people to use Manston.

to have on board more well known and successful airlines

Please take notice of the destinations that local people ask for. The previous company did not and the airport was not used
I do not understand why you are considering expanding Manston when the country is looking for ways to reduce carbon emissions and pollution. Why is this happening when Heathrow airport is already being expanded as is Stansted both of which have better rail and road links. Do not spoil the Kent countryside by expanding Manston. It appears that Heathrow's account of noise pollution is incorrect - it is much higher than they originally stated which leads me to believe that you will do the same. I do not want to live in a flight path. Would you??
Good luck. I hope the master plan works out and that we shall see considerable expansion in future years. I hope you will keep Manston user friendly.

just do it ASAP

Manston cries out to become an extra operating centre for one of the big low-cost carriers

Haven't seen the draft master plan

needs to be better access to the airport, wider roads and better lighting

We need you!

I hope it works as I hope to use the airport in the future

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

Ask Government to stretch the Thames Gateway to Thanet so that you could get Objective 1 funding!!

none

Good luck to Kent International Airport

This Master Plan has been insufficiently publicised and I would be very surprised if you have had a hge response from residents. The plan will not benefit the area or its residents. At a time in the world when we should be cutting back on air travel, I am at a loss to understand why this plan is being proposed. Rather, the area should seek to regenerate as a domestic tourist destination itself. I am opposed to the draft Master Plan.

Please put on some flights to Dublin!!! Thanks

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

1 - Over the past few years there has been an increase in low flying traffic over the Herne Bay area. I assume this is due to your comments on the "wind Farm". This has proven to be intrusive to family life with increased noise & air pollution. More effort needs to be given to the areas on the flight paths to ensure the lives of persons not immediately adjacent to the airport are fully considered and suitable remedies implemented (e.g. restrictions on landing/take-off hours over populated areas. Imposing the use of low-noise aircraft on all carriers or cargo carriers. 2 - This form would have been easier to complete had the designer allowed more visible space within the boxes such that I could view the whole of my comments at a glance and therefore ensure my comments were in the correct box....

i have lived in Thanet for most of my life and seen so many air line company come and go .it is now the time for thanet to take off

although it was not entirely in layman's terms, i understand the foresight you have and applaud you for your endeavours, and if you can continue to carry this all out, then you deserve to be successful.
using the airport would hopefully bring much needed employment to the area, and a boost for the community.

I do not have time to read the entire plan, but I just hope the business plan is good enough this time to make it pay.

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

I am furious that you have the nerve to try to impose restrictions on the local development of renewal energy (wind farms) in order to impose you airport on us, particularly given that your business is entirely dependent on non-renewable, finite and dwindling supplies of dirty fuel. Has anyone in central government mentioned to you that they are going to abandon the sea defences between Reculver and Thanet? This will result in the sea being allowed to cut Thanet off from the mainland, making it an island once again, with serious implications for effective catchment area size, passenger access to the airport, and onward connection times. There's no indication anywhere in your plan that you are aware of any of this.

The only problem we have is the amount of financial overload for flying from Manston. We would have gone to Croatia again next year but the prices are prohibitive and having to pay £100 extra to fly from Manston as opposed to Gatwick is too much.

The road and rail links to Thanet do not encourage people to drive to an airport here. It is only an hour and a half to get to Gatwick where the facilities are much better. My experience of returning to Manston from a holiday in Portugal was poor. No immigration officer, kept waiting outside on the tarmac for over an hour. No apology and I would have to think very carefully about whether to fly from Manston again!

Limitation are due to geographical location as the customer base is mainly Kent and places WEST as all other directions are towards the sea! This will always be a factor and limit any big expansion. Further afield other airports offer superior facilities and, most importantly, more choice. For example the trip to Malta, a place where I go frequently, gives you the choice of one date per year, take it or leave it. I would have to leave it. This restricts the amount of business an airport 'stuck in this corner, will ever do, sadly. All plans so far have been way too optimistic. Before any big increase in air traffic can be envisaged you will need a DIRECT AND MAYBE EXCLUSIVE MOTORWAY AND A RAIL LINK. I believe that you will get neither in at least the next 50 years! Good luck, anyway!

If the flight path could come via the sea, and not via the town, would add my support to the plan

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

Please please think about aircraft routing. It's mad to blight our lives (and, trust me, those aircraft come over us very low when they come) when you could route craft over the sea and then inland over unpopulated areas. I have lived near City airport and know how miserable the kind of volumes you are predicting can make life.

We have met people flying in from the continent, and found the journey to Manston far less stressful and more pleasant than going to Stanstead, Heathrow and Gatwick.
North / South internal links coast to coast are a definite must

This is an excellent and much needed draft plan for a facility that local people will very much value. With infrastructure in place for those who live further away, or for tourists entering England via Kent, we expect Manston will prove to be an excellent facility too. We wish you much success.

The plan is superior to others such as Lydd and Thames estuary schemes.

No comment other than 'get on with it'

Flights to Malaga can't come soon enough for us.

Although flying to Faro is top of my list for business and pleasure reasons, having been a regular flyer from the airport in the past, I would welcome any other destinations that KIA felt were viable.
We should be planning to reduce air travel, not expand airport use

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

I think that even in the face of the current economic downturn Infratil need to continue with their development plans for the Airport

my only two visits to Manston have been diabolical. Cheap flights cheap service. one - palne staff were held up in a motorway delay two- no customs so we were left on runway! think I prefer gatwick, or Heathrow or anywhere really! hope this helps
In the past you already know companies have come and gone at Manston Int. The current world financial problems concern me that again Infratil may not survive.

I can understand that expansion of services may not come as a positive step to people living in the immediate vicinity of the airport, due to potential noise and disruption, but as someone who lives in the larger area, I would be oh so grateful not to have to travel to Gatwick or other airports further away in order to take an international flight. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

AS YOU HAVE A CONTROLLING STAKE IN PRESTWICK AIRPORT IS THERE ANY PLANS TO FLY SCHEDULED FLIGHTS FROM THERE. IS THERE GOING TO BE FLIGHTS FROM EDINBURGH. FOUR YEARS AGO I FLEW FROM SCOTLAND TO MANSTON AS I HAVE FAMILY IN KENT. THERE WERE TWO FLIGHTS PER DAY AND I DONT THINK THE DEMAND WAS THERE AT THE TIME. IT WOULD BE GREAT TO SEE A FLIGHT FROM GLASGOW OR PRESTWICK TO MANSTON PUT BACK ON THE TIMETABLE. EVEN IF THERE WAS ONLY 3 OR 4 FLIGHTS A WEEK I`M SURE THE DEMAND WOULD BE THERE.

We and the other Brits who visit Spain and other European destinations regularly would like the convenience of flight only travel from Manston

Its about time this airport was utilised to its full potential, it is steeped in history and can serve the people of kent as long as the right destinations are on offer.

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

Thanet is currently enjoying other developed areas such as Westwood cross, however there seems to be less negotiation, planning and development of access areas in order to get to these developed areas. This needs to be addressed prior to development commencing at the airport to prevent the congested areas becoming worse.

I am a weekly international business traveler who would welcome the chance to use a local airport for obvious reasons. However my main concern with this venture is its viability: where are the regular passengers going to come from? Amongst my circle of family and friends I have in the East Kent area there is not one person who goes anywhere by plane on business. I do hear of the once a year trip by the local businessmen I know to say a trade show in Birmingham or some sort of occasional meeting in London but nothing else - travel wise - other than the annual family holiday. When EU Jet was running I went on my flight a business trip to Geneva and was one of a very small number on the plane who all seemed to be off skiing. The other flight I booked on to was to Copenhagen and that was canceled in advance as I was one of only two people booked on that flight. If Manston just ends up as a holiday destination only airport then it would have no value for me whatsoever.

excellent news bring it on!

in Coniston Avenue we live directly under the fly path and appreciate the arrival of aircraft.

Please get on with it!

Working in the off shore oil industry as do a lot of other people in the south east KIA would be a great benefit to us as we fly all over the world every 5 /6 weeks this airport would cut my traveling time down by minimum of 2 hours each way
We welcome any airline company that will make full use of KIA

Far too lengthy to read. Would like a condensed version - preferably a paper copy sent out by post to local residents.

I am very pleased that the airport is going to get going again, but I would have really liked to hear that the scheduled flights were going to be flying into Alicante, my family and friends could all really benefit from Manston operating flights to Europe again.

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

And for the life of me cannot see the obsession with building one in North Kent when Manston is virtually sitting idle.
If there is any objections to the expansion to the Airport my answer to them is Move!!!

As long as it doesn't interfere with the lives of people in the immediate are.

I do not see why other areas are being looked at for an extension to London Airports when Manston is already there and has the runway capacity to take all sizes of planes.
I BELEIVE THAT NO CONSULTATION HAS BEEN MADE WITH THE PEOPLE WHO ARE RESIDENT IN THE FLIGHT PATH
Wishing you every success

Not seen the plan yet, will have to get back to you when I've seen it.

We would really like to see the airport being utilised fully and the local Council should do more to support this.

There are those who have and always will be negative about any form of future development for the airport I am not one of those. we need this development for now and for the future not just for us now but for future generations and employment. The area has been in such decline what better project to regenerate that development of our local airport, am supporting you 100%.

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

This airport gives good access to the SE and can be used, with good rail links provided, as an additional airport for London.

The sooner the better for the whole area

My daughter lives in Ashford, and I used to fly regularly to see her. Now I HAVE to use horrid Gatwick!
weekend flights would be a great advantage to pick up a flight on a friday to a european capital and return back on a sunday/monday will generate a lot of business for people wanting a long weekend away or people wanting a week away . the problem you have is the collapse of eu jet getting peoples confidence on flying from manston . strong flights and regular flights are the make or break of manston ,
I have not studied the master plan in full, but in my opinion the development of Manson can not come soon enough.

just hope things work out this time for for the airport.

I am in full agreement for the expansion of Manston and cannot understand the obsession with developing a new airport on the North Kent marshland when Manston could be developed into an important international airport. People who buy property near a long standing airport and then complain should move away and not be pandered to by the local Council.

better sooner than later !

Lets see action not just plans and talk. Why aren't Flybe going to other destinations other than Jersey

I HOPE THE PROPOSED EXPANSION GOES AHEAD

EUJet suffered from underinvestment and lack of suitable aircraft

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

When there were EUJet flights from Manston to Edinburgh I was able to visit my mother in Deal at least once a month, and she came to see me in Edinburgh once a month. Now our visits have reduced to 2 or 3 times a year. The Edinburgh/Manston route would, quite simply, change my family's life, as my children would be able to see their Grandmother on a much more frequent basis - providing of course, that the fares are not set too high.

It's great to see Flybe starting flights in 2009 - good luck.

Keep going with anything that will stop the expansion of Lydd airport runway!!!!

due to the location of the airport you will be restricted to the area your customers can come from, as we have a barrier of water, best of luck for the future.

There has been an airport at Manston for many years so those who complain about its development should have considered that before buying houses near by. The area needs regeneration and a fully operational airport could bring much needed finance to the area.
it is good to see that the future is looking good and hope that more routes are added
I think you have it really sorted. I just wish you could go right ahead and put Manston back on the map where it should be.

The Manston airport is an existing facility that can be brought into use with the least of cost and time. The road network is quite satisfactory for the time being, but can be expanded with time.

I live right under the flight path, and it wouldn't bother me at all with more flights etc. I much prefer planes than road traffic
if we need it it now for years to come

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

We flew from Manston with Newmarket to Sorrento. I have to mention that Newmarket services on that day were disgusting. Our flight times were changed 3 times and we never took off until 23.00. Because of this we had to fly into Rome and then had a 4 hour coach trip to Sorrento. All the passengers were very distressed as we were stuck in Manston for over 8 hours. Manston is at the moment not equipped for this type of delay. Situations like this do put people off flying from Manston, and I sincerely hope that in the future this will not happen again.

My friend was just about to use the She was disappointed that you did not give it a summer to see how things went.

Go for it.

Please keep up all the good work you all do. We need this Airport and proud of Manston.

ME AND MY FAMILY WOULD LOVE TO SEE MANSTON UP AND RUNNING AGAIN AND WOULD BE REGULAR CUSTOMERS OF THE AIRPORT IF IT GOT ROUTES UP AND RUNNING TO THE RIGHT DESTINATIONS

Manston airport would prove to be extremely popular with travellers from the south east and would be easily accessible without having to use such roads as the M25. Ideally situated near the sea with plenty of flat land - perfect in every way. Not a lot of employment in the area which would benefit the local people immensely and would attract development for what is a fairly unoccupied and bleak landscape.

I love the airport here and I will always support it. To think of Manston without an airport is awful. Good luck in the future and well done

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

Very feasible

WE CAN ONLY SUPPORT YOU IN THIS VENTURE, GOOD LUCK

LONG OVERDUE

A regular service is essential, not like Kent Escapes only one flight a week

When you had flights from Edinburgh and Glasgow a few years ago (EU Jet) it was relatively simple and quick to visit my family who live in Herne Bay. Now it takes the whole day just to get there as I now have to travel in to London from Gatwick then back out by train down to Herne Bay. This adds another 3 hours or so on to the journey. I really would welcome the return of the Edinburgh flights. Also to be able to go to European cities for the weekend with my family without all the extra travelling would be great. I do hope all this will happen in the near future.

Your download of Draft Master Plan is not accessable as it downloads into photosmart only. Your e-mail needs tweeking

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

None

Dont hang around...Please get the go ahead to get on with it.

Bringing more jobs to the local area

I never understood why eu jet failed, it was a fantastic service. I long for the day domestic flights return to manston, it makes my life a lot easier.

I would like to see the facilities at Manston used for commercial and/or military purposes. At the moment it seems under-utilised; almost a white elephant. However, I realise that I am unlikely to be greatly disturbed by this, compared to residents at Manston and Ramsgate. Your catchment area is somewhat limited so you have to somehow make use of KIA appealing to customers who would otherwise use the existing London airports.

No other comments just please try and start flying to Edinburgh again so that the Kent based Scottish community can go home without having to go through the hell that is Gatwick Airport

I am delighted to see Manston getting off the ground again. How about approaching Easyjet & Ryanair to get a good low cost carrier there?

Offer incentives to airlines based on reliability otherwise the Manston flight will be the first one they cancel in the event of any problems.

I fully support the proposals.

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

I think the plan has been well put together. It recognises all the issues of economy, environment, planning and I wish it great success.

Implementation of the plan will provide a huge asset to Kent

Before the unfortunate closure of EUJet I only used the airport once, unlike at the larger airports I found it a pleasurable experience.

Manston is an ideally placed airport to deal with southern English demand - it would take pressure off Gatwick & M25.
please bring back business flights ASAP

I think there should be a lot more flights from Manston

I cannot understand why 'controversial' schemes are still proposed rather than KIA
Can't see it happening. Too many people lost out.

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

I live in the flight path of Manston and whilst I support the airport I do not want the value of my home to depreciate anymore than the recession has already made it. We flew from there this year 2008 to Gran Canaria, I do not believe there should be dozens of flights every day. I feel there should not be flights between 22.30 and 07.00 as this is really disruptive to local residents. The summer is the worst time when flights come in to land as I feel I am unable to lay in my garden as when the planes are over my bungalow they are very noisy and low and the fumes from the fuel are horrible. I feel local residents should have a say in the plans for KIA as they are the ones who will ultimately suffer if we have dozens of flights over our houses day and night.

Please take little or no notice of the local geriatric academics who poured scourne on the developement from the outset, helped by lots of negative reporting by the local Gazette.

Having a busy airport catering for passengers in East Kent is vital to the future of the area.

The needs of General Aviation MUST not be overlooked. It would not be acceptable to grow Manston and deny light aircraft access to the airspace.

My elderly parents, and my family live in the Margate area, and they would be able to visit me in Spain more if flights were available from Manston. I travel home about 4 times a year, and if I could travel in and out of Manston, and of course, if the price was comparable with other companies, I would definatly use this ariport again.

BETTER ROAD AND RAIL LINKS WOULD BENEFIT ALL THE COMMUNITY

We would like to use Manston a lot more if the destinations are right.

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

GREAT POTENTIAL BUT KEEP INITIAL STARTUP TO FEW ROUTES.

Although I have always argued that housing developenebts came largely after the airport, I do feel that operations should be as sympathetic to residential impacting concerns as possible e.g. night flight restrictions, aircraft noiselevel restrictions etc.

This will fail if rail access is poor. There should be a good direct link between Manston and London and one from the southern kent area.

I have used this airport once in 2005 it was a mission to get to and ridiculously small and services were practically none exsistant. I have been asked about this airport before and have said then - it is not needed!!

expand the destinations, more long range flights i:e Florida

good luck ,gatewick is to fare

none

Eagerly await the return of flights to Malaga which I would use about eight times per annum travelling from where I live in Spain to visit small cottage I have in Kent. My work as a journalist also brings me to Dublin regularly so that route would be a bonus but not as important as Malaga.

Manston has always been a large well used airport in the past it should be again.

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

There needs to be more hotels built for flight crew and passengers for a major player

the sooner you get it going the better

Manston is much too far away from centres of population the South East compared to Gatwick, Stansted, Heathrow & Luton to be a major airport. Expanding the airport will be of very limited benefit to the region compared to the impact on the environment. Manston should stick to being a small freight handling airport with aircraft repair and servicing capabilities.

providing there is a fast luggage service. Good food and drink. relaxing environment. Cheap prices. Clean and modern. manston would be a great success.

To have such an airport in place and not to make full use of it seems such a wasted opportunity, I came to live in Thanet 4yrs ago and detect amongst a lot of people who live here the desire to go back to the past, this is not going to happen but it does lock Thanet into a sort of 'dreamland'. I fully support having a good airport in East Kent

Hopefully the plans for the airport are wildly optimistic, but if they were to come to fruition, they would destroy a great place to live. It would not be a net benefit to Ramsgate.

I look forward to the day when Manston becomes recognised as a real alternative to the 3 main London airports it can't come soon enough for me

We already suffer from low flying training flights and aircraft that do not keep to the correct flight path between Minnis Bay and Reculver.

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

Please see my comments (2) in the facebook Infratil blog site as too much space might be taken up in this section. My persona view for now: Good luck and keep going.

Plans for 'noise insulation' clearly indicate increased noise levels for residents living under the flightpath. You can't noise insulate outside, on the beach, in the town centre. I will actively oppose plans to expand the airport because I oppose the expansion in air traffic in general and oppose the expansion of planes flying directly over my community.

The biggest worry for my family and myself is the invasive nature of noise of planes flying over our house and potential of unsociable timing of flights which will affect our everyday life and blight our property. Not night flights please.

I have lived in the area on and off for many years and have never known a good employment rate, this is now the chance to alter that. There are too many people in this area happy to see this situation, they are thinking of their children, grandchildren etc. I didn't hear many people complain when Manston was a American Air Base, why, because it brought prosperity to the area. Until I retired 18 months ago, I worked and lived in Swindon for 12 years, this town was on its knees many years ago due to the railway works pulling out of the town. The council got off its backside and encourage big business into the town and now this town is very prosperous and at one time before the credit crunch it had a very low level of unemployment and one of the highest wage rate in the country. This could be Thanet if only the people would realise this.

IMPLEMENT IT AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

According to your noise map I fall into the area of being "aware" of plane noise. I would dispute the definition of "aware". When a plane flies almost directly over my home I cannot continue a conversation or a phone call, listening to music or watching tv is interrupted. More flights would have a severe impact on our quality of life. Night flights are especially disruptive. I would like someone to take sound measurements inside my house as the planes go over.

Please do not let there be any more flights and extensions to this airport. London is not that far away and has 4 airports.

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

Where else can you walk from the car park at reasonable cost and be in the terminal building in a couple of minutes
 Your plans will wreck people's lives. Your training/cargo flights are bad enough at the moment.
 I hate Manston airport and want it to close

Keeping the noise level down by finding the best take off and landing routes and head out on a steep slope to reduce noise.

noise pollution

IN TOTAL AGREEMENT,BUT FRUSTRATED AT LACK OF PROGRESS

Manston and the surrounding area could be a Kent business hub. I live under the flight path in Nethercourt- St Lawrence Chase. Some local people, who are reasonably comfortable are against such development. I urge a survey to be carried out of local working age people instead of pensioners. Having to travel to London for work is not practical, and Thanet will be losing some very gifted workers to London based firms if investment such as this is not developed.

Cancel the project now

If this is agreed, and I very much hope it is not, who would provide grants and compensation to those under the flight path?

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

The airport provides very little for local residents in terms of advantages, I would like to see it closed and turned into an onshore windfarm

These plans really are a blight on Ramsgate. How on earth can you or the council justify expanding the airport when the end of your runway is less than a mile from my house? What assurances are you giving to reimburse me for the blight on my property from your plans? Will you be compensating me for the inevitable drop in the value of my house, or giving me a grant for double glazing and soundproofing?

Plenty. Keep an eye out for my detailed response.

good luck i hope to soon fly out of manston

if it brings jobs to this area thats good

The development of the airport can not come quick enough for me. It will enhance the area, bring jobs and bring convenience to the whole catchment area. Regretfully, I feel the use of "Master Plan" as a title, whilst in common usage amongst strategic planners, especially of airports, is a bit "Big Brotherish", it implies an imposed plan and does not indicate the level of consultation, research and thought that has gone into it. Perhaps a softer title such as "plan for growth and development" might be more widely understood.

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

BRING IT ON IT CAN ONLY BE GOOD FOR THANET AND THE LOCAL ECONOMY

minorites always shout loud iwould think most people would welcome a busy airport

Bearing in mind the London 2012 olympics Ifind it inconcievable that K.I.A. could be overlooked as an Olympic gateway. I personally am looking forward to the re-introduction of scheduled flights and the opportunity to fly once agasin from K.I.A.

My wife and I look forward to seeing a new charter operator from Manston for 2009, this airport does make life easier for us. We have just used EasyJet from Gatwick to Prague, travelling by train, had to rise at 5am in Whitstable to meet a 1225 take off. However we are back at Manston next June for a Newmarket flight to CroatiaGood luck with the plan.

dont wast time, get things moving we need a good airport,at least infantile do what the say

I would just like to say how happy i am to hear the news that the airport may come into a great use for the future. Its a brilliant airport that could benefit alot and i am hoping that all plans will go ahead!

I have lived in thisarea for 25 years. i do not want the area to be polluted with a local airport. This includes noise, fumes (smell), transportation problems. I say NO NO NO!!

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

There was a short rail link from the Faversham / Dover line to the Ashford Minster line, known as the Canterbury Spur if reinstated this looking current line speeds, possibly could give an approx. 83 min. run from London via Bromley & Medway. This service could use the now disused Waterloo International station. This could give a prestigious service similar to Gatwick Express. The east Kent lines are being resigalled soon and if such a plan is instigated this could firmly put Manston on the map, reduce strain on other airports and make good use of existing facilities. Ramsgate is a fairly busy rail station, is not up to the standard of a bespoke interconnect expected by air travellers, is quite far from the airport in the town where the roads are limited in capacity for improvement.

we have always flown from Manston starting with eu jet and then seguro and would hope a regular airline would make its base there, we would use them several times a year
Increased car parking and better road access are essential for customer acceptance of the facility.

If there is going to be investment into the airport please make sure it goes all the way. The true locals to the airport have had this promise so many times before, from the times of Invicta Airways through to today. Please if you are going to invest don't jump ship before its completed. Give the people of Thanet something to be proud of and also help reduce unemployment in the area. I have done projects before on transport around Thanet for a health and safety, with the suggestion of a dual mono-rail around the coast of Thanet having spur lines to Westwood X KIA, Pfizer, this has been costed before and would be cost effective, as you suggest with a railway station possible going in at the Lord of The Manor with a mono-rail link to the airport this would add to the success of a similar project.

The draft Master Plan for KIA has been drawn up in response to the 2003 Air Transport White Paper. However, this is a policy that has this week been explicitly recognised as being at loggerheads with the forthcoming Climate Act, which will bring into law swingeing cuts in greenhouse gas emissions over the coming decades. There is no way to reconcile the planned growth in aviation set out in the ATWP with the dramatic 80% cut envisioned in the Climate Bill, and in the forthcoming collision between these two policies, something will have to give. We must all hope, for the sake of our children, that it is the ATWP that gives way in this confrontation.

Further comments once the plan has been digested.

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

Whilst I appreciate that there has been to some degree a cost implication added to you plans I would like to suggest the developing of the main terminal building along the link corridor area. If constructed in the same manner as Stansted additional modules could be added without any problems. It would also promote the reduced walking distances to the departure gates which is a major complaint at airports such as Heathrow, even in the relatively small terminal 2. This suggestion allows for a new forecourt which would not be pinned in between a terminal building and the car parks possibly with a plaza feel thus serving two purposes 1. the increased distance to the building reduces security incident likelihood of impacting on the building core and 2 provision of a more spacious feel that is not expected when travelling through a world class airport. Having travelled a fair bit I also prefer airports where there is a dual taxi way in each section as this allows for the operating more ground movements thus reducing the risk of delays. Manchester Terminal 1/3 link area is a good example

It builds upon the successes and failures of EuJet which I used. Commercial business flights for us business travellers. Rail spur links to make travel to/from airport seamless like Southampton etc

Although some may say it is ambitious I believe that KIA could work as a regional airport, particularly with the use of low cost airlines. Taking EU Jet's mistakes into consideration and learning from these mistakes, particularly the lack of marketing at destinations, will help to bring travellers into Kent, even if just passing through on their way to London. If it can be running successfully by 2012 there is no reason why it can't tap into the Olympic market requirements. Nothing further

hope to see the airport back up and running to its full potential soon!

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

It Seems Kent IA is in a great position to be the hub of domestic flights in the UK, if it can take advantage of the fast trainlines to London, and also links to the continent via the Channel Tunnel. It's on the right side of London to really benefit people. Lets get started! and get that Blackpool flight sorted soon! It's been a pain since Ryan Air cancelled their Stansted - Blackpool route. Seems like a no brainer for weekends up north in the summer!

I look forward to hearing your views and wish you good luck with any future developments with Manston.

Interesting plan.

Just hurry up and expand, and supply more flights ect, locally we want to travel to places in europe from a local airport, Just perhaps go up to London for Long Haul. But then again the runway at manston can take the 747 and A380 so Long haul could be a possibility also. Keep up the good work.

I very much admire kent international as an up and coming airport and i believe it will do in the near future, as long as we dont let it slip as we have done in the past.

I hope you can get the backing of Virgin and Richard Branson.

I am concerned that you have seriously underestimated the need for an effective rail link. You cannot rely on a bus to get passengers from the airport to Ramsgate. I realise new rail links are hugely expensive, but without them a new passenger service is destined to fail. KIA should be used as a satellite airport to gatwick or even Heathrow, where passengers check in at LGW/LHR and use dedicated feeder trains to travel to a departure gate at KIA. This cannot happen without proper rail connections.

I think that Manston is a wasted opportunity for the people of kent to be able to travel easily. I flew with EU Jet on one occasion and had an extremely good experience. I was booked to travel with them again when unfortunately they went bust. Many people who I know are in favour of having commercial flights from Manston.

Other comments - please use the following box for any other comments you may have regarding the draft Master Plan

We were very impressed when we were able to travel to Murcia from Manston airport, it was an easy, pleasant experience. (unfortunately these flights stopped shortly after we bought our holiday home there!) We look forward to the return of flights to Murcia or Alicante and would most certainly choose to fly from Manston on a regular basis if we could.

test